

**REMARKS**

In the Office Action<sup>1</sup>, the Examiner rejected claims 13-30 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0220995 to Hitaka ("*Hitaka*") in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0135621 to Angiulo ("*Angiulo*").

Claims 13-30 remain pending.

Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection of claims 13-30 under 35 U.S.C. § 103.

Independent claim 13 recites an information processing system comprising:

a first viewing apparatus comprising:

a first display unit[, and] . . .

the second viewing apparatus comprising:

a second display unit . . . ; and

a receiving unit for receiving the new thumbnail picture URL transmitted by the transmitting unit of the first viewing apparatus, whereby the second display unit is refreshed to display the second cursor in a new location of a new thumbnail picture associated with the new thumbnail picture URL received from the first viewing apparatus.

(Emphasis added).

Applicants claim a method where movement of a first cursor on a first display is detected, and a new thumbnail picture is specified. A URL of the new thumbnail picture

---

<sup>1</sup> The Office Action contains a number of statements reflecting characterizations of the related art and the claims. Regardless of whether any such statement is identified herein, Applicant declines to automatically subscribe to any statement or characterization in the Office Action.

is then transferred to a device having a second display, which is refreshed to display a second cursor on the second display at a new location of a new thumbnail picture associated with the URL.

*Hitaka* discloses a system in which a user can use a personal computer to send photos to a photo site and to order photos from a print site. [125]. Upon receiving an order start confirmation, the print site acquires a thumbnail image from the photo site server. [332]. After the thumbnail image is acquired, the print site displays the image select dialog 4400 shown in FIG. 43 in step S4207. [332]. However, the dialog box is displayed on the display of the user's PC. See, [128] ("The print site sends, to the user PC, a dialog used to display the acquired thumbnail image and prompt the user to input detailed print information"). The user can then use this dialog box to enter further details about the order. [325].

The Examiner acknowledges, "*Hitaka* . . . does not explicitly show . . . a receiving unit for receiving the new thumbnail picture URL transmitted by the transmitting unit of the first viewing apparatus, whereby the second display unit is refreshed to display the second cursor in a new location of a new thumbnail picture associated with the new thumbnail picture URL received from the first viewing apparatus," but relies on *Angiulo* to remedy these deficiencies. (Office Action at p. 3).

*Angiulo* does not cure the deficiencies of *Hitaka* for at least the following reasons.

*Angiulo* discloses a tool that enables a user to easily and automatically create a photo gallery of thumbnail images on a web page. (Abstract). In the Office Action of February 6, 2009, the Examiner asserted "[i]t is understood the first cursor is identified when the image is selected to be dragged and the second cursor is refreshed when

dropped in the new location. . . . Note that a first item in the list displayed, 'HOCKEY.JPG,' is shown as being highlighted, indicating that a user has selected that image, (Par. 0044), therefore the highlighted selected image is dragged into the second location (i.e. gallery window). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to combine the drag and drop feature as taught by Angiulo with the information processing system of Hitaka to efficiently transfer images from one apparatus to another." However, even if this were true, which Applicants do not concede, dragging and dropping an image to a second window does not constitute a teaching of a method where movement of a first cursor on a first display is detected, and a new thumbnail picture is specified. A URL of the new thumbnail picture is then transferred to a device having a second display, which is refreshed to display a second cursor on the second display at a new location of a new thumbnail picture associated with the URL.

Accordingly, *Hitaka* and *Angiulo*, alone or in combination, do not teach or suggest "a receiving unit for receiving the new thumbnail picture URL transmitted by the transmitting unit of the first viewing apparatus, whereby the second display unit is refreshed to display the second cursor in a new location of a new thumbnail picture associated with the new thumbnail picture URL received from the first viewing apparatus" (emphasis added).

Independent claims 16, 19, 22, 25, and 28 are allowable over *Hitaka* and *Angiulo* for at least reasons similar to those given for claim 13. Dependent claims 14, 15, 17, 18, 20, 21, 23, 24, 26, 27, 29, and 30 are allowable at least due to their dependence from allowable base claims.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of this application and the timely allowance of the pending claims.

Please grant any extensions of time required to enter this response and charge any additional required fees to our deposit account 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,  
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: May 6, 2009

By:   
David W. Hill  
Reg. No. 28,220

**Philip J. Hoffmann**  
**Registration No. 46,340**